

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 08/01/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On May 05, 2025, neighbour deliberately came onto the Complainant's driveway and used his lawn tractor to spit stones onto her vehicles. This has happened before in 2023, 2024 and 2025, The officer stated that all he sees in the pictures is the neighbour mowing his lawn. The Complainant said the officer did not review the video evidence she had.

On June 24, 2025, The Complainant told police she and the neighbour have been given several warnings to stay off each other property in the past and she has abided by this caution, however on this date, the Complainant had video of the neighbour on her property however the officer did not ask to see the video.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Neglects to do duty section 19 CSPA

Decision and Reasons

The PSB investigator reviewed 12 reports related to the complainant and their neighbour revealing a clear pattern of behaviour.

Of the 12 reports 4 reports were cited in the investigative report.

The 4 previous police reports were related to the complainant's complaint.

The respondent officer who investigated the complainant's complaint followed the [REDACTED] procedures by speak with the complainant in attempts to determine if the complainant had any further information which they didn't.

The respondent officer on the behalf of the complainant contacted the neighbour and warn them to stay away from the complainant and their property.

The respondent officer exhausted all investigative avenues which did not reveal any kind of offence.

The respondent officer requested a specialized unit follow up with the complainant and their neighbour to assist with resolving the ongoing neighbour dispute which was complete.

Base on the above information the respondent officer did a through investigation, and followed [REDACTED] procedures.

The claim of Neglects to do Duty was found unsubstantiated.