

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 04/15/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged he has been harassed by the [REDACTED] for 20 years. He further alleged his property has been damaged and that he has been assaulted. He alleged he tried to resolve outstanding tickets however is being denied change of venue and access to court to contest the charges.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Public-Uncivil - Sec 12 CSPA Reg. 407/28

Decision and Reasons

The Respondent Officer recognized that the Complainant appeared to be a person in crisis given the context of their conversations and comments made. The Respondent Officer ensured that plain clothes officers attended the residence of Complainant to determine if he had any firearms. The Respondent Officer confirmed that the appropriate Police Service had taken carriage of the matter as Complainant resides in the there and was advise that the complainant was to be transported to the hospital for an assessment.

There is no evidence of harassmnet and no evidence that the Respondent Officer was anything but professional in his conduct and actions as they related to the Complainant. The allegation is unsubstantiated.