

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 11/20/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: Referred to Other Service: Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On October 9, 2025, the Complainant filed a complaint with the Law Enforcement Complaints Agency regarding a series of interactions between an unknown police officer and the Complainant's unidentified boyfriend.

In her complaint, the Complainant stated that between the period of August 21, 2025, and September 23, 2025, the unknown officer investigated her boyfriend multiple times without a valid reason and unnecessarily searched his vehicle.

Based on the series of events, the Complainant perceived that her boyfriend was unfairly targeted and harassed by the officer without legal justification and thereby requested that the matter be investigated by the Law Enforcement Complaints Agency.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 10- Conduct undermines trust.

Decision and Reasons

In answer to this complaint, the Investigator made numerous attempts to speak with the Complainant regarding the investigation. The Complainant did not acknowledge any of the Investigator's attempts to reach her.

The Complainant is well within her right to not participate in the complaint process. However, pertinent information was excluded from this complaint. The lack of pertinent information has hindered this investigation to the point where the Investigator cannot proceed any further without the Complainant or her boyfriend's assistance.

Upon careful review and analysis of all available information, and without any further information from the listed witnesses, there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred.