

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 05/07/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

Complainant indicated they were walking in downtown [redacted]. Complainant is a transgender individual and observed two officers members walking on the other side of the street. They looked in their direction and snickered/laughed. The complainant believed that their laugh was directly related to the complainant's gender identity.

Insufficient objective evidence was gathered to validate that the snicker/laugh was in relation to the complainant. Furthermore, the [redacted] officers were not identified.

This complaint was unsubstantiated.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

- List Code of Conduct Allegations and the Relevant Sections

Decision and Reasons

- Provide reasons for the unsubstantiated findings for each Code of Conduct Allegation.
- Where applicable, reference evidence relied upon (e.g., video evidence, police policy, case law, training, corroborative evidence from witnesses, etc.,).
- Do not include information about substantiated allegations.