

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 09/16/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant provided the following details in his complainant to LECA on September 16, 2025:

While driving, he came upon a vehicle that was traveling slowly in the left lane and this vehicle applied the brakes on and off making it appear as if the driver wanted to instigate road rage with the complainant. The complainant went around the vehicle, as it appeared the driver was under the influence or trying to cause road rage. After passing the vehicle, the vehicle followed and tailgated the complainant for approximately three kilometers. The tailgating caused the complainant to feel stressed and he was ready to call the police afraid he may be robbed or shot.

The complaint regarding being followed by a vehicle that was suspicious to him, ended up being a police officer, who subsequently conducted a traffic stop and issued the complainant three Provincial Offence Notices.

The complainant admitted he was speeding because of the reckless behaviour of the officer.

## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation - Conduct Undermines Public Trust, contrary to section 10, O. Reg. 407/23 of the Community Safety and Policing Act.

A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing.

It is alleged that Respondent Officer (RO) tailgated the complainant and caused him stress thinking he was being followed which caused the complainant to speed.

## Decision and Reasons

Upon review of this complaint and all the information and evidence gathered, it is the finding of the PSB investigator that the Respondent Officer conducted himself lawfully and professionally, was polite, patient and respectful of the Complainant. In view of the video provided by ICCS, the evidence supports the statement provided by the RO.

The time of day and weather conditions support the RO's attempts to find a safe location to conduct a traffic stop on [REDACTED] during rush hour. Although the Complainant reports he felt suspicious of the vehicle following him, there is no evidence to reasonably believe RO was unprofessional in his approach and interaction and that any form of misconduct occurred.

As a result, this allegation is unsubstantiated.