

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 10/07/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleged that officers failed to give care and attention and ensure the safety of two persons involved in a motor vehicle collision.

The complainant believes that respondent officers failed to conduct a thorough investigation of a domestic dispute incident and failed to take the appropriate enforcement action.

The complainant reported that an officer removed them from their residence without lawful authority.

**Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations**

Conduct that Undermines Public Trust Sec. 10(1) C.S.P.A. O'Reg. 407/23

Neglect of Duty Sec. 19 C.S.P.A. O'Reg. 407/23

**Decision and Reasons**

There was no clear and convincing evidence presented by the complainant that substantiated the allegations of Conduct that Undermines Public Trust or Neglect of Duty.

The officers responded to a domestic dispute investigation. The complainant provided the officers conflicting information which was later found to be deceitful about who was present prior to the officers arrival. The officers conducted a full and comprehensive investigation and were unable to form grounds supporting a charge.

The officers then located a motor vehicle collision and began conducting an investigation while adequately ensuring the well-being of all involved persons.

The complainant referenced a historical incident where they believed police removed them unlawfully from their residence. The PSB Investigator found that the complainant had no lawful right to the residence when police arrived. The responding officer was made aware by the landlord that the complainant was in the process of being evicted from the residence. The officer did not remove the complainant from the residence but allowed the existing eviction process to continue.

The PSB Investigator reviewed evidence provided by all parties involved which included audio recordings and photographs.

Given the reasons above, it has been determined that all noted allegations are unsubstantiated.