

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 07/25/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: Referred to Other Service: Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On August 2, 2024, the Complainant's son was arrested for a parole violation at her home. The day prior, he had taken his girlfriend's vehicle during the course of a domestic dispute.

The ownership of the vehicle is in dispute. The Complainant contends that her son's girlfriend had ownership of it, but only while her son was incarcerated. The Complainant claims that her son's girlfriend had since signed the ownership over.

At the Complainant's residence the Respondent Officer took the vehicle keys and moved the vehicle into a parking spot on the street. The Complainant's son's girlfriend was later driven to the vehicle by police to retrieve it.

The Complainant alleges the police were neglectful in their investigation in relation to ownership of the vehicle.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

10 conduct undermines public trust

19 neglects to do duty

Decision and Reasons

Both allegations unsubstantiated. The Respondent Officer provided a reasonable assessment of the evidence he was faced with. The weight of the evidence indicated the vehicle was the property of the Complainant's son's girlfriend. Assisting in it's retrieval, assisting a victim of crime, is within the Duties of a Police Officer.