

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:



Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant has lodged LECA complaints against the police. they allege historical abuse by his uncle who is Police Officer and is also pursuing legal action in relation to this abuse. The complainant reports that the police agency failed to investigate an assault by his uncle despite multiple witnesses and, instead, arrested him. The complainant attended the police agency seeking to lay charges against his uncle. He alleges that the respondent advised him to pursue a peace bond rather than criminal charges, and that his request to have the incident investigated was ultimately misrepresented.

The complainant emphasizes that the agency failed to act with accountability and seriousness and requests that they properly investigate the original incident, which he believes was mishandled by the agency.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

1 - Fail to perform duties

Decision and Reasons

The complainant declined to participate. Consequently, the investigation was based solely on the original report submitted to LECA. The complainant's account of events could not be corroborated, and the respondent officers' notes present a materially different version of the incident than that alleged.