

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant,authored a LECA complaint that alleged the Respondent Officer (RO), Provincial handcuffed her and forced her to [REDACTED] hospital. She was later released by the doctor and didn't speak to the psychiatrist on staff. Further that the RO did not allow her to take her service dog with her.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 10(1) of the Community Safety Policing Act states “a police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing.”

Decision and Reasons

BWC and ICC footage showed that the Complainant was a person in crisis and was apprehended under the mental health act, where she was transported and seen by a physician who deemed it necessary for the Complainant to remain in the hospital for assessment and treatment. The Complainants dog was not taken as there are not adequate means to care for the dog at the hospital.