

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 08/21/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On May 7, 2025 at approximately 1:18 pm the [REDACTED] Police Service received a call for service from the complainant who reported that someone was pouring concrete on his property.

The respondent officer responded to this call for service and determined that the property located adjacent to the empty lot, was undergoing a repair to the foundation.

Dirt that had been removed from the base of the foundation and had been temporarily moved to the adjacent empty lot in question and a cement truck had moved onto the empty lot.

The complainant was demanding the workers vacate his property.

The Respondent officer attempted to mediate a resolution between the involved parties.

The complainant states that the respondent officer neglected to protect his rights with respect to property boundaries and failed to act in a fair and impartial manner and acted with racial bias.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Treat a person in a manner that contravenes Human Rights Code
Undermine Public Trust

Decision and Reasons

There was no body worn camera video or audio recording of this event for the investigator to examine.

Despite the fact there was an obvious language barrier between the Respondent officer and the complainant there is evidence to suggest that the officer was acting in good faith and attempted to achieve a resolution suitable to the complainant.

There are no grounds to suggest that the Respondent officer failed to conduct a proper investigation or that he acted with racial bias.

The complainant in this matter was encouraged to contact the City with respect to concerns surrounding building/renovation permits and to explore possible civil remedies concerning any significant property damage.

The allegations were unsubstantiated.