

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 09/10/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that she was physically removed from [REDACTED] Division.

**Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations**

Interaction with the Police,

11. (1) A police officer shall not use force unless,
- (a) the force is used for the purpose of carrying out a duty;
  - (b) the officer is entitled, by statute or common law, to use force for the purpose of carrying out that duty;
  - (c) the officer is acting on reasonable grounds; and
  - (d) the force used is no more than is necessary given the circumstances.

**Decision and Reasons**

The investigation could not corroborate the events that the Complainant described.

There is no evidence to confirm that the Complainant came to the Division as she alleges nor could she identify any officer involved in the incident. The Complainant was given ample opportunity to come to the Division and identify the RO but refused.

There is no CCTV of the incident and no evidence that the Complainant called 911 as she alleges.

No RO can be identified. There is insufficient evidence to investigate the matter further.