DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 06/30/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleged the RO was dishonest about witnessing the mischief and wanted an explanation into the RO's conduct, specifically regarding the issue of his health card.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

1) Neglects To Do Duty Sec. 19 CSPA
2) Conduct Undermines Public Trust Sec. 10 CSPA

Decision and Reasons

The respondent officer was engaged in a call for service. The information provided to him was believed to be credible and his actions were in good faith.

The information available throughout the course of the investigation did not substantiate the allegations made by the complainant.

There was no basis or information to substantiate misconduct on the part of the respondent officer.