DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 04/30/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleges the respondent officer acted in an unprofessional manner and failed to conduct a proper investigation.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Undermine Public Trust	Section 10
Neglects to do Duty	Section 19

Decision and Reasons

There is no evidence to show the Respondent Officer acted in an unprofessional manner.

The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officer failed to investigate her allegation of mischief and threatening. The Respondent Officer determined a criminal offence had not occurred and there was no longer a concern about the safety of the Complainant. As a criminal offence did not take place the Respondent Officer determined no further action or investigation was required.

The Investigator agreed with the Respondent Officer's decision that criminal charges were not warranted in this matter and no further investigation or action was required as no criminal offence had occurred.