

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 07/31/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that the Respondent Officers did not follow [REDACTED] Police Service procedures, were intimidating, unprofessional, and breached his Charter Rights.

## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

### Interactions with the Public,

Section 12. (1) A police officer shall not, in the course of their duties, use abusive language with any person or otherwise treat any person in a manner that is abusive.

- It is alleged that during an interaction with a member of the public the Respondent Officers were uncivil and intimidating

### Performance of Duties,

Section 27. A police officer shall comply with the procedures established by their Chief of police.

- It is alleged the Respondent Officers failed to follow Toronto Police Service procedures.

### Human Rights and the Charter

Section 6. (1) A police officer shall not, by act or omission, do anything that the officer, at the time, knows or reasonably ought to know would infringe or deny a person's rights or freedoms under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

- It is alleged the Respondent Officers violated the Complainant rights in not informing him they were investigating him for a criminal offence and in not informing him of his rights to counsel.

## Decision and Reasons

This investigation has revealed the officer(s) were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities.

During the interaction with the Complainant, the officer(s) made efforts to ensure his Charter Rights were protected, they were lawfully on the premise whilst also ensuring they thoroughly investigated an allegation of Assault contrary to the Criminal Code of Canada. Further, RO1's use of strong language in quoting the Complainant's own words was intended to correct the Complainant's indifferent approach to the criminal allegation and to underscore the seriousness of the issue.

Throughout the investigation, the Respondent Officers protected the Complainant's Charter Rights by clearly articulating to him that he was not obliged to speak with the police, and provided the Complainant opportunity to speak with a lawyer.

Based on the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

Therefore, the allegations are unsubstantiated.