DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☐ Referred to Other Service: ☐ Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant reported that he had been assaulted by his neighbour. He alleged when the officer arrived, he wasn't asked any questions, was forced into his apartment and threatened.

The complainant further alleged the officer and his neighbour "high-fived" each other, and the officer used insulting language towards him.

The complainant alleged the officer's conduct undermined public trust and his language was abusive.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 - Undermine Public Trust s. 10(1) O.Reg 407/23 Code of Conduct, CSPA

Allegation 2 - Abusive Language s. 12(1) O.Reg 407/23 Code of Conduct, CSPA

Decision and Reasons

A review of the general report, will states, and duty statements found that the responding officers attended a multi-unit residence to investigate an assault between neighbours. Each neighbour claimed to have been assaulted by the other.

The complainant called 911 to report he had been assaulted. When police arrived he was uncooperative. The complainant demanded charges be laid against his neighbour however refused to provide a statement and declined to participate in the ongoing investigation.

The other involved citizen was charged with assaulting the complainant. He willingly provided a statement for the LECA investigation. The citizen confirmed the officer conducted himself in a professional and caring manner towards the complainant. He confirmed he did not high-five the officer and did not hear the officer use insulting words towards the complainant or anyone else.

The investigation determined the officer made significant efforts to speak with the complainant to gather relevant information to assist with the investigation. In addition, the officer made significant efforts to have the complainant receive medical attention which was declined.

The investigation also determined the complainant had assaulted the citizen and he was subsequently charged in this incident.

The LECA investigation determined the officer conducted an appropriate and thorough investigation into this incident and the allegations that the officer engaged in conduct that undermined trust and used abusive language were unsubstantiated.