DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 06/16/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On May 24, 2025 the Complainant was operating a motor vehicle at the intersection of (Redacted).. He made a U-turn while the traffic light was red and was subsequently pulled over by an unmarked police vehicle. During the course of the traffic stop investigation the Complainant was arrested for Possession of a Controlled Substance and subsequently searched. A quantity of unidentified pills were seized from the Complainant. The Complainant was eventually released unconditionally at the scene. It is alleged the officers illegal arrested and searched the Complainant and refused to provide all of their badge numbers.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Unlawful Arrest
Conduct undermines public trust
Fail to provide identifying information upon request

Decision and Reasons

Unlawful Arrest

1) The Respondent Officers were lawfully present with the Complainant due to his Highway Traffic Act offence. The Officers asked the Complainant to produce his driver's licence and in doing so observed unidentified pills in the Complainant's possession. The unidentified pills did not have a label on them. The Complainant was not forthcoming in identifying the pills to the Officers. Respondent Officers believed in his drug experience the pills to therefore be illicit and subsequently on reasonable and probable grounds arrested the Complainant for Possession of a Controlled Substance.

Conduct undermines public trust

2) The Respondent Officers on reasonable and probable grounds arrested the Complainant for Possession of a Controlled Substance after the Complainant refused to answer and identify the pills or provide a prescription label.
3) The Officers arrested the Complainant for Possession of a Controlled Substance. The Officers seized the unidentified pills on the Complainant's possession under the authority of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act. The pills were seized and sent to Health Canada to be analyzed.
4) The unidentified pills were analyzed and they did not fall under the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act NOR did the analysis identify them as a prescription drug as the Complainant indicated in his complaint.

Fail to provide identifying information upon request

5) Respondent Officers advised according to their memos that they provided the Complainant on several occasions their badge numbers when asked by the Complainant.
6) The Complainant captured a video at the end of their interaction and with the absence of any independent witnesses or other evidence corroborating the Complainant's version of events, there is insufficient evidence to dispute the Officers failure to provide their badge numbers.