

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 04/28/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that she reported to [REDACTED] that her children's father's girlfriend engaged in abusive behavior to her children, causing injury to her daughters left arm. And the Respondent Officer closed the case without investigating or laying criminal charges.

The Complainant further alleges the Respondent Officer called the Complainant a liar when the Complainant said that Children's Aid sent her a text asking her to call the police to stress the importance of this matter.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

1. Performance of Duties - s. 19
2. Interactions With the Public - s. 12(1)

Decision and Reasons

1. Performance of Duties - The officer is a designated child abuse investigator. Case notes, statements and reports were reviewed. This investigation has revealed the officer was in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with relevant governance.

2. Interactions With the Public - The allegation stems from the Officer telling the Complainant that CAS said they had no safety concerns after the Complainant said CAS sent her a text urging her to “stress the importance” to police. It is not misconduct for the Officer to share that her conversation was contrary to the conversation the Complainant claimed to have had.

The Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

Conclusion: The Chief of Police does not have reasonable grounds to believe the involved [REDACTED] Police Officer engaged in misconduct.