

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]



Date of Complaint: [REDACTED]

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that [REDACTED] Police [REDACTED] officers failed to identify and provide their badge numbers (Allegation 1) and also made inappropriate comments (Allegation 2).

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Insulting Language - Section 12 Community Safety and Policing Act (CSPA)
Conduct Undermines Public Trust - Section 10 (CSPA)
Fail To Provide Identifying Information Upon Request - Section 13(2) (CSPA)

Decision and Reasons**Allegation 1) Fail To Provide Identifying Information Upon Request**

The evidence available to the Investigator showed that officers wore their police uniform including their badge numbers clearly displayed on their vest, and also showed by body worn camera (BWC) and their duty notes that the Complainant never asked for their names or badge numbers.

Allegation 2) Insulting Language

The evidence available to the Investigator through BWC and duty notes determined that there was no evidence to support that insulting language was used.

Conclusion : Both allegations against [REDACTED] Police officers have been concluded as UNSUBSTANTIATED.