DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On Wednesday,as April 16, 2025, the Complainant was arrested for Assault and alleged the Respondent Officer aggressively arrested him without verifying any information. The Complainant also alleged he felt this was biased as the witnesses were ignored when they voiced out that he was the victim.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 5- Human Rights and the Charter
Section 10- Conduct Undermines Public Trust
Section 19- Neglect of Duty

Decision and Reasons

Allegation #1

Human Rights and the Charter

Section 5. (1) A police officer shall not, in the course of their duties, treat any person in a manner that the officer, at the time, knows or reasonably ought to know would contravene the Human Rights Code.

• It is alleged that the Respondent Officer arrested the Complainant because of his race.

Respondent Officer (RO)

Finding: This investigation has revealed the officer was in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The RO had lawful grounds to detain the Complainant based the information that was available to her. The Complainant was described by the female who approached the RO, and the Complainant matched the description. The Complainant was detained for a short time, and the RO had him released very quickly when it was determined that he was actually the victim.

Based on the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

Therefore, the allegation is unsubstantiated.

Allegation #2

Interactions with the Public