DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☐ Referred to Other Service: ☐ Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that the Respondent Officer conducted a negligent investigation and failed to provide adequate service and accommodation.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1

Performance of Duties

Section 19 Neglect of Duty

You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, by act or omission, you failed to perform your duties appropriately without lawful excuse, that you knew, or reasonably ought to have

Decision and Reasons

Allegation #1

Performance of Duties

Section 19 Neglect of Duty

You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, by act or omission, you failed to perform your duties appropriately without lawful excuse, that you knew, or reasonably ought to have known would amount to failure to perform your duties appropriately, contrary to Section 19 of the Code of Conduct of Ontario Regulation 407/23 and therefore, contrary to Section 195 (a) of the Community Safety Policing Act, R.S.O. 2019, as amended.

• It is alleged that you failed to thoroughy investigate a “Theft Under” occurrence.

Respondent Officer 1 (RO1)

Finding: This investigation has revealed the officer was in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. RO1 made reasonable efforts to obtain evidence to investigate the alleged theft. When no evidence was available, RO1 used his discretion to no longer continue with the investigation.

Based on the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

Therefore, the allegation is unsubstantiated.

Allegation #2

Human Rights and Charter