DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 10/24/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
Complainant alleged that three Respondent officers, on two different dates, did not investigate a report of theft.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 19 - Neglect of Duty

Decision and Reasons

On date #1, Respondent #1 attended a storage unit to investigate a reported theft. The complainant could not articulate a criminal offence. Respondent #1 reviewed evidence and determined the incident was a civil matter.

On date #2, Respondent #2 and Respondent #3 attended the residence of Complainant to investigate a report of theft. The complainant could not articulate a criminal offence. Therefore, there was no investigation to be conducted.

The allegations are unsubstantiated