

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: July 9, 2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges the respondent officer was neglectful in not conducting a thorough and complete investigation and failed to provide the Crown's office the appropriate documentation and reports so that the matter could be adequately screened for the proper charges.

The complainant alleged that he attended the [REDACTED] main office and an officer dismissed him and laughed at him.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 19 - Neglects to do Duty
Section 10 - Undermines public trust in policing

Decision and Reasons

The respondent officer conducted an investigation which led to an arrest and charge of assault being laid. The complainant signed a medical release document, but did not respond to request from the officer for his medical documentation until after the charge had been dealt with in court. There was no evidence that the respondent officer neglected to do a duty.

The complainant could not identify the officer he dealt with at the [REDACTED] main office, but provided a description. The officer could not be identified and no evidence was available to determine if an officer undermined public trust.