

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: [REDACTED]

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

Complainant reported his vehicle stolen by calling the [REDACTED] and speaking to an officer over the phone. A report was completed and the complainant's vehicle was entered onto CPIC as stolen. The complainant reported no follow-up from police. At the time of the complaint the vehicle had not been recovered and the officer that they spoke to had since retired. [REDACTED]
Procedures were followed and there was insufficient evidence to substantiate misconduct.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

- List Code of Conduct Allegations and the Relevant Sections

Decision and Reasons

- Provide reasons for the unsubstantiated findings for each Code of Conduct Allegation.
- Where applicable, reference evidence relied upon (e.g., video evidence, police policy, case law, training, corroborative evidence from witnesses, etc.,).
- Do not include information about substantiated allegations.