

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]



Date of Complaint: [REDACTED]

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that the [REDACTED] Police officers used insulting language (Allegation 1) and unlawfully detained him during a Provincial Offences Act investigation (Allegation 2).

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Insulting Language - Section 12 Community Safety and Policing Act (CSPA)
Unlawful Detention - Section 8 (CSPA)

Decision and Reasons**Allegation 1) Insulting Language**

The evidence available to the investigator consisted of Body Worn Camers (BWC), duty notes, and video from the Complainant. Based on this evidence, the officers did not use abusive language nor threaten the Complainant in any way.

Allegation 2) Unlawful Detention

The evidence available to the investigator consisted of Body Worn Camers (BWC), duty notes, video from the Complainant, and an authorization document. The evidence provided established that the officers had the authority to investigate the Complainant under a Provincial Act, concluding that there was no unlawful detention.

Conclusion: Both allegations against [REDACTED] Police officers have been concluded as **UNSUBSTANTIATED**.