

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## **DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA**

Original Police Service:	Date of Complaint: May 16th, 2025	
Type of Investigation:		
Referred to Same Service:	Referred to Other Service:	Retained by LECA:
Service Investigations Referred to:		
De-identified Summary of Complaint		
The complainant alleges on three selawful justification. The complaint su are being discriminatory in their action unlawful detention and the unlawful selawful selaw	bmits officer(s) are engaging in a pons. The complainant alleges one	pattern of harassment and

LECA 2024 Page 1 of 2



## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

- 1. Conduct undermines public trust S.10 CSPA X2
- 2. Discrimination Human Rights Code S. 5 CSPA
- 3. Unlawful Detention S. 8 CSPA

## Decision and Reasons

In conclusion, there is insufficient evidence to reasonably conclude any of the officers involved in conducting traffic stops of the complainant acted in a discriminatory or harassing manner. All of the officers who spoke with the complainant were polite and professional and acted within the authorities provided to them by legislation.

This investigation found no evidence any of the officer's treated the complainant any different due to her race or any other reason as outlined by the prohibited grounds of discrimination.

A review of the officers who had searched the complainant's plate on CPIC had found all the searches were conducted during the course of their policing duties.

LECA Page 2 of 2