

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 04/24/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On April 12, 2025, the complainant was volunteering in [REDACTED] during a protest. During the event, another volunteer was arrested for allegedly striking the hand of a known agitator. Shortly afterward, the complainant engaged in similar conduct, this time directed at the respondent officer (RO). In response, the RO struck the complainant's hand without warning. The complainant perceived this as an assault and requested that the RO be arrested. No arrest was made. The complainant believed the differing responses reflect a concerning double standard in how such incidents are handled.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1 Conduct Undermines Public Trust Section 10 CSPA

Allegation #2 Unnecessary Use of Force Section 11 CSPA

Decision and Reasons

- The complainant had clear misrepresentation of the facts which led him to his own actions.
- The RO's actions were not a reportable a use of force, merely a natural human response.