DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 03/26/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The CO was involved in a physical altercation at his apartment building. The CO was not happy with the police response time to his 911 call and was upset charges were not laid.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Duty-Neglect or Omit - Sec 19 CSPA Reg. 407/23 - Unsubstantiated

Decision and Reasons

The CO was upset with the police response time to his 911 call. In review of the CAD details, officers were on scene in 3-minutes after being dispatched to the call. Officers conducted a thorough investigation speaking with the CO, independent witnesses and the accused. Independent witnesses advised that the CO was the primary aggressor.

Officers did not establish reasonable and probable grounds that an offence had occurred and deemed the minor physical altercation as mutually consented.

The CO was verbally aggressive towards police and attempted to bully them by stating “I’ve got a feeling you’re gonna just let him fucking walk today after assaulting me. I’m not happy and I’ll be talking to your superiors if I don’t get what I want.” This was captured on body worn camera.

Officers attempted to explain the reasoning for decision not to pursue charges and the CO walked away.