DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 06/03/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The complainant alleges that the responding officers falsely identified her causing two warrants for her arrest to be issued. The charges against the complainant were withdrawn by the Crown Attorney's office on the trial date.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Neglect of Duty section 19
You are alleged to have committed misconduct in that, by act or omission your failed to perform your duties appropriately without lawful excuse that you knew or reasonably ought to have known would amount to perform your duties appropriately.

It is alleged that the responding officers did not conduct a proper investigation causing two warrants to be issued for the complainants arrest.

Allegation 2- Undermines Public Trust Section 10(1)

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1

The complainant was contacted on several occasions but did not respond to investigators

The responding officers compared the complainants photo that was on file to the photos posted on an internal bulletin board and determined that they were the same person. The investigator reviewed the same photos as the responding officers and determined that it was reasonable for the two officers to have concluded that the photo was of the complainant.

It is in the opinion of the investigator that the responding officers were acting in good faith and without prejudice and therefor did not commit the offence of neglect of duty.

Allegation 2

The complainant was contacted on several occasions but did not respond to investigators

The responding officers compared the complainants photo that was on file to the photos posted on an internal bulletin board and determined that they were the same person. The investigator reviewed the same photos as the responding officers and determined that it was reasonable for the two officers to have concluded that the photo was of the complainant.

It is in the opinion of the investigator that the responding officers were acting in good faith and without prejudice and therefor did not commit the offence of neglect of duty.