DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 02/13/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleges he called police to report being assaulted, and further that on August 4th, 2024, the Respondent Officers attended the Complainant's address and proceeded to terrorize him, violently cornering him, intimidating him and screamed at him. The Complainant further alleges that the Respondent Officers instructed him not to go to a near by pharmacy to attend to his injuries, and further that the officers lied about him not wanting to give a statement. The suspects were not identified.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019, S.O. 2019, c. 1, Schedule 1 Code of Conduct for Police Officer: Ontario Regulation 407/23.
This regulation sets out the code of conduct with which every police officer must comply.

Allegation #1

Performance of Duties,
Section 19 A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their

Decision and Reasons

Allegation #1

Performance of Duties,
Section 19 A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately.

• It is alleged that the Respondent Officer were neglectful in their investigation.

Respondent Officer 1 (RO1)
Respondent Officer 2 (RO2)

Finding: This investigation has revealed the Respondent Officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities.

The Respondent Officers attended the Complainant's address to obtain information regarding an assault occurrence that took place in [redacted]. The Responded Officers took the time to obtain required information from the Complainant in order to submit a report. When the Complainant refused to provide a statement before speaking with his lawyer the Respondent Officers proceeded to explain why they needed the statement and further told the Complainant to call them back when he was ready to give the statement. There are no records on file of the Complainant calling back to give a statement.

Even without receiving a statement from the Complainant the Respondent Officers submitted a General Occurrence report with the information they had received.