

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 06/02/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant alleges that Police took an adversarial stance toward her, didn't listen to her side of events, and roughly arrested her in front of her family, causing her to suffer pain to her arms, shoulders and ankle.

The complainant alleges that the respondent officer threatened to kill her by stating "we are going to kill you today."

The complainant believes that these actions were taken against her as she is a visible minority with darker skin tone.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Discrimination - 5 of the Code of Conduct, Ontario Regulation 407/23, Community Safety and Policing Act of Ontario.

Unlawful Detention - 8 of the Code of Conduct, Ontario Regulation 407/23, Community Safety and Policing Act of Ontario.

Conduct Undermines Public Trust - 10 of the Code of Conduct, Ontario Regulation 407/23, Community Safety and Policing Act of Ontario.

Unnecessary Force - 11 of the Code of Conduct, Ontario Regulation 407/23, Community Safety and Policing Act of Ontario.

Decision and Reasons

The arrest of the complainant was conducted by private security officers. The [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Police were not present for, or part of the arrest of the complainant. This is confirmed with surveillance video footage.

The complainant alleged that the respondent officer threatened to kill her. The complainant had also alleged that the respondent officer was present and part of her arrest.

Surveillance video was reviewed and civilian witnesses were interviewed. There is no corroborating information to support the complainants allegation.