DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 05/28/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
This complaint is based upon an alleged assault which occurred on November 3rd,2024. During that time the Complainant was involved in an altercation, with another resident. The Complainant then reported this assault to local police division. The Complainant is unhappy that criminal charges have not been laid against the other involved party. The Complainant has alleged the Respondent Officer did not conduct a proper investigation.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1

S. 19 - Performance of Duties, by act or omission, fail to perform your duties appropriately without lawful excuse, that you knew or reasonably ought to have known would amount to failure to perform your duties appropriately, contrary to section 19 of Ontario Regulation 407/23 of the Community Safety Policing Act

• It was alleged the Respondent Officer did not conduct a thorough investigation by not

Decision and Reasons

Allegation #1 - Performance of Duties

Finding: The Respondent Officer was in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The Respondent Officer conducted a thorough investigation, reviewed all available evidence, which did not rise to the level of reasonable grounds and therefore he could not make a lawful arrest or lay criminal charges.
Based on the available information, there is no evidence to believe the Respondent

This allegation is unsubstantiated.

Allegation #2 - Undermine Public Trust

Finding: The Respondent Officer conducted a full, fair, and frank criminal investigation in which the threshold to arrest or lay Criminal charges was not met; the Respondent Officer communicated this result to the Complainant, who was not pleased. If the Respondent Officer acquiesced to the Complainant's demands to charge CW1 that would have undermined public trust.

This allegation is unsubstantiated