DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 03/21/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant states that he was operating a motor vehicle when he was stopped and placed under arrest for an outstanding out of town arrest warrant. The Complainant was eventually released unconditionally at the scene.

The Complainant alleges that the officers were unprofessional and dismissive alleging that they neglected their duty and unlawfully arrested him as a result.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation #1
Performance of Duties,
Section 19. A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to failure to perform their duties appropriately.

• It is alleged that the Respondent Officers failed to adequately conduct a proper and thorough

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1:

This investigation revealed that the Respondent Officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The Respondent Officers conducted a thorough investigation to confirm whether the Complainant was the subject of the information received from CPIC. Ultimately, the investigation determined that the Complainant was not the subject, and he was subsequently released unconditionally. Based on a review and analysis of the information, the investigation has determined that there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred. Therefore, the allegation is unsubstantiated.

Allegation 2:

This investigation revealed that the Respondent Officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The Respondent Officers arrested the Complainant based on information they received from a query of the Complainant's licence plate through the Canadian Police Information Centre (CPIC). The return provided the officers with the reasonable and probable grounds to arrest and detain the Complainant pending confirmation of the returned information from the issuing jurisdiction. The Complainant was ultimately released unconditionally, and the Respondent Officers completed the required entries into the Toronto Police Service database documenting the investigation and arrest. Therefore, the allegation is unsubstantiated.

Allegation 3:

This investigation has revealed the officer(s) were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities. The review of the Body Worn Camera video evidence for each involved officer, along with the available In-Car Camera video evidence and statements from both the Complainant and the officers, confirms that each officer acted professionally and interacted appropriately with the Complainant. It was determined that the