

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 04/08/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that on February 7, 2025 at approximately 6:00 pm, he was traveling on [REDACTED] when a Police car began tailgating him and flashing their lights at him to move over.

Once the Complainant moved over there was an interaction between the Complainant and RO2, he alleges the Officer berated him by yelling aggressively and making hand gestures at him.

The Complainant alleges that that the Officers were driving erratically without their emergency equipment activated.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Undermine Public Trust - Section 10

Incivility - Section 12(1)

Neglect of Duty - Section 19

Decision and Reasons

1) Undermine Public Trust

The Complainant alleges that he was traveling on [REDACTED] when a Police car began tailgating him and flashing their lights at him to move over.

-The Investigator reviewed the Complainants statement, RO1 and RO2's statements and Section 147(1) of the Highway Traffic Act. GPS data of the police vehicle was also reviewed. All parties were traveling in the passing lane on the 401 heading Eastbound.

The investigator concluded Officers were traveling on [REDACTED] in the passing lane, the Complainant was driving in the passing lane at a speed lower than the posted speed limit, Officers flashed their headlights to have him move over into the right lane. It was not unreasonable for Officers to flash the Complainant to move over, the passing lane is not for vehicles driving at a slower speed.

2) Incivility

It is alleged that Officers yelled aggressively at the Complainant and made hand gestures toward him.

-The investigator reviewed the Complainants, RO1 and RO2's statement. All statements were very similar in nature. The Complainant rolled his window down and asked, "Why are you flashing me?" RO2 responded by asking "Why are you driving so slowly and advised him he could get a ticket". The Complainant gave the Officers the middle finger and RO2 gestured his arms up in a questioning manor.

The investigator concluded that the interaction between the parties on [REDACTED] did not meet the threshold of misconduct.

3) Neglect of Duty

The Complainant alleges that the Officers were driving erratically on [REDACTED] without their emergency equipment activated.

- The investigator reviewed the GPS data of the police vehicle, RO1 and RO2 statements. The Officers were not driving at excessive speeds, they also were not on a call.

The investigator concluded that GPS evidence shows that the Officers were not driving at excessive speeds on the highway, the Officers did not have their emergency equipment activated, as they were not responding to a call at the time.