DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 03/03/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
On March 3rd, 2025, the Complainant was involved in a physical fight with another male, the Complainant alleges officers arrived on scene and threatened to arrest him if he made a report. The Complainant alleges that later the same day the same male attended his residence and threatened him and his mother, when he called police to report it he was told they will attend and arrest them both.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019, S.O. 2019, c. 1, Schedule 1 Code of Conduct for Police Officer: Ontario Regulation 407/23.
This regulation sets out the code of conduct with which every police officer must comply.

Allegation #1

Performance of Duties,
Section 19 A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their

Decision and Reasons

Allegation #1

Performance of Duties,
Section 19 A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately.

• It is alleged that the Respondent Officers refused to lay charges against the person that assaulted the Complainant, further threatening the Complainant with sending him to jail if he wanted to proceed with charges.

Respondent Officer 1 (RO1)
Respondent Officer 2 (RO2)

Finding: This investigation has revealed the officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities.

The Respondent Officers conducted an on-scene investigation and further consulted a senior Detective, WO3.. Once it was decided that the altercation was deemed a consensual fight both the Complainant and the other male involved were given the option of proceeding with charges or accepting a caption, which they accepted the caution.

The Respondent Officers cautioned both the Complainant, and the other male involved.

Based on the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

Therefore, the allegation is unsubstantiated.