

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 01/17/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

On January 17, 2025, the Complainant (CO), alleged that the Respondent Officers (ROs), released information to members of the public regarding his complaint of online harassment. The CO believes this privacy breach allowed the harassment to continue.

**Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations**

Integrity-Release of Information - Sec 17 CSPA Reg. 407/23

**Decision and Reasons**

Referenced legislation: CSPA Section 17 Reg. 407/23

On May 1, 2024, the CO attended an [REDACTED] Detachment to file a complaint that he was the victim of online harassment. On May 2, 2024, an acquaintance of the suspect in the CO's complaint made an online post related to information the CO provided RO1. RO1, who was the investigating officer, completed the investigation and after consultation, concluded that the allegations did not reach the threshold of a criminal offence. The CO was advised of the decision but wanted the potential privacy breach investigated based on the content and timing of the post. An audit was conducted on the occurrence report and the associated Axon digital evidence by a Professional Standard Unit (PSU) investigator. RO2 and RO3 queried the occurrence for operational reasons but did not access the Axon digital evidence. All 3 RO's stated they did not disclose any information to the suspect or acquaintance involved in the CO's complaint.

The suspect and acquaintance were interviewed and they stated they did not receive any information regarding the CO's complaint from any member of the OPP. The acquaintance stated the reason for her post was for an unrelated matter, not the CO's complaint.