DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 02/25/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

On January 29, 205 The complainant was involved in a Landlord and Tenant dispute where officers were called to mediate.

The Complainant alleged that the Respondent officers did not properly investigate a threatening offence and that the officers purposely ignored evidence of that offence.

Further the Complainant alleged that during the investigation the Respondent officers entered his home without a warrant, conducted illegal searches and then allowed the involved tenants to steal property and extort the complainant of currency.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 19 - Neglect of Duty - failure to perform a duty.
Section 10 - Interactions with the public - conduct that undermines public trust.

Decision and Reasons

Section 19 - Neglect of Duty - failure to perform a duty - Unsubstantiated

The Investigator relied on the Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage captured by the officers involved. The officers recorded the entire interaction with all the involved parties.

The Complainant advises the Respondent officers that they were threatened by the tenants with a knife and that this interaction was captured on cell phone video, the Complainant proceeded to show officers the video. The BWC shows officers viewing the video and discussing its content. The officers describe an individual sitting at a kitchen table utilizing a knife to eat while in a conversation with the Complainant, at no time are threats heard and at no time is the knife pointed at the Complainant. Both officers assess that there is no offence made out by this video and they advise the Complainant of this determination. The officers clearly assessed the available evidence and determined there was no grounds for an arrest.

Section 10 - Interactions with the public - conduct that undermines public trust - Unsubstantiated

Body Worn Camera footage was again viewed by the Investigator. Footage shows the Complainant invited the Respondent officer's into the home during the investigation negating any requirement for a warrant. The investigation involved multiple involved parties making allegations of threats, this required officers to ensure the safety and wellbeing of all involved. Officers checked rooms of the home to ensure there were no injured parties that had yet been identified. This action was conducted as part of preserving life, a duty imposed on officers by common law.

Lastly, the Complainant states that officers allowed the tenants to steal property. At no point on BWC footage are tenants seen taking any property from the house, further at no point during the investigation does the Complainant make any allegation of theft. Although both the Complainant and their tenants discussed the exchange of currency the officers made it clear that they could in no way impose a resolution on the involved parties or direct the exchange of funds.