

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:	▼ Date of Co	omplaint:
Type of Investigation:		
Referred to Same Service: ①	Referred to Other Service:	Retained by LECA:
Service Investigations Referred to:		
De-identified Summary of Complaint		
The complainant alleges that Police officers used unnecessary force (Allegation 1) and their actions undermined the public trust (Allegation 2).		



Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Unnecessary Force - Section 11 Community Safety and Policing Act (CSPA)
Conduct Undermines Public Trust - Section 10 (CSPA)

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1) Unnecessary Force

The evidence available to the Investigator showed that the entire event was recorded on Body Worn Cameras (BWC) and In-Car Video from the police cruiser. The evidence clearly shows that no assault or unnecessary force occurred.

Allegation 2) Undermines Public Trust

The evidence available to the Investigator showed that the entire event was recorded on Body Worn Cameras (BWC) and In-Car Video from the police cruiser. The evidence clearly shows that the officers did not participate in conduct that undermines public trust.

Conclusion: Both allegations against	Police officers have been concluded as
UNSUBSTANTIATED.	2