DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 03/11/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint
The Complainant alleges the Respondent Officer called him a coward and attempted to instigate a fight. The Respondent Officers then refused to investigate damage caused to his bicycle.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

12(1) - Interactions with the Public - Incivility
10(1) - Interactions with the Public - Undermine Public Trust
19 - Performance of Duties – Neglect of Duty

Decision and Reasons

12(1) - Interactions with the Public - Incivility

This investigation has revealed the Respondent Officer was in the lawful performance of his duties. Video evidence shows the Respondent Officer was argumentative with the Complainant, but the language used was not abusive or insulting. There is no evidence to show the Respondent Officer used abusive language.

Based on all the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

10(1) - Interactions with the Public - Undermine Public Trust

This investigation has revealed the Respondent Officers were in the lawful performance of their duties. Video evidence shows Respondent Officer #1 was argumentative with the Complainant, but the language used was not abusive or insulting and his conduct would not undermine public trust. There is no evidence to show Respondent Officer #2 operated the vehicle improperly or acted unprofessionally.

Based on all the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

19 - Performance of Duties – Neglect of Duty

This investigation has revealed the Respondent Officers were in the lawful performance of their duties. There is no evidence to show the Respondent Officers witnessed the Complainant’s bicycle being damaged. Video evidence shows the Respondent Officer instructing the Complainant how to submit a report. The Complainant did not provide evidence to show his bicycle had been damaged.

Based on all the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there