

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the Community Safety and Policing Act and the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## **DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA**

Original Police Service:	Date of Co	omplaint:
Type of Investigation:		
Referred to Same Service: R	eferred to Other Service:	Retained by LECA:
Service Investigations Referred to:		
De-identified Summary of Complaint		
The Complainant alleges that Police Provincial Offences Act statute (Allegation the outcome of the investigation (Allegation relation to a Criminal Code complaint).	ion 2), and neglegted to condu	allowed others to influence



## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Unnecessary Force - Section 11 Community Safety and Policing Act CSPA Conduct That Undermines Public Trust - Section 10 CSPA Neglects To Do Duty - Section 19 CSPA

## Decision and Reasons

## Allegation 1) Unnecessary Force

The evidence available to the investigator consisted of Body Worn Cameras, witness statements, duty notes, and call history information. Based on this evidence, the officers had lawful authority to apprehend the Complainant under a Provincial Offences Act investigation.

Allegation 2) Conduct That Undermines Public Trust

The evidence available to the investigator consisted of Body Worn Cameras, witness statements, duty notes, and call history information. Based on this evidence, there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred.

Allegation 3) Neglects To Do Duty

The evidence available to the investigator consisted of Body Worn Cameras, witness statements, duty notes, and call history information. Based on this evidence, there is insufficient evidence to establish that misconduct occurred.

Conclusion: The allegations against UNSUBSTANTIATED.	Police officers has been concluded as	