

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]



Date of Complaint: 06/05/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant indicates that her brother was falsely accused of stealing from Lens Crafters by an off-duty officer identified as the respondent officer.

When security was called, the Complainant stated the respondent officer flaunted that he was a police officer.

The Complainant alleges the situation was handled poorly by the respondent officer who was not working and feels this was a form of discrimination against her brother. Further, the officer did not apologize for his mistake or placing his hands on the Complainants brother.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Conduct Undermines Public Trust
Discrimination

Decision and Reasons

Both counts unsubstantiated as per case law (Moore v BC Education, Saxon v Amherstburg PS, Toy v Edmonton PS), witness statements, General Orders, Common Law Police Authorities.