

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 12/11/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that the Respondent Officers conducted a negligent investigation on December 4th, 2024, when she reported being assaulted. As a result, no charges were laid.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

CSPA OREGS

Allegation 1:

Performance of Duties,

Section 19 A police officer shall not, by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately.

Decision and Reasons

This investigation has revealed the officers were in the lawful performance of their duties and acted in accordance with all governing authorities.

The Respondent Officers attended the Complainant's address on the strength of the radio call for service, they spoke with all involved parties and further reviewed CCTV video from the building and partially captured video from the Complainant.

After careful examination the Respondent Officers formed the opinion that they had no evidence to prove an assault had taken place, as such there were no charges laid, and an Incident Report was submitted.

Based on the available information, the Investigator has concluded that there is insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct has occurred.

Therefore, the allegation is unsubstantiated.