

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:	Date of Complaint: 12/30/2024									
Type of Investigation:										
Referred to Same Service:	Referred to Other Service:	Retained by LECA:								
Service Investigations Referred to:										
De-identified Summary of Complaint										
The complainant alleged that RO 1 is harassing her, her son and his friend due to a recent break up with her daughter.										
PSU investigated this and unsubstantiated misconduct. The file number associated to this investigation was (REDACTED) PSU report was completed on March 21, 2025.										

LECA 2024 Page 1 of 2



Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Duhl	lic-l Indermine	Public Trust -	Sec 10 CSP/	A Reg. 407/23		
i ubi	iic-Ondenniine	i ubile i iust -	360 10 COI F	1 Neg. 401/23		
1						

Decision and Reasons

Upon review of the incidents, it is clear that the involved officer adhered to the standards and expectations outlined in organizational policy regarding professionalism. Although this was a very personal experience for the officer, they managed the situation well by distancing themselves from the investigation due to a clear conflict of interest. They took the necessary steps to ensure that the integrity of the process was maintained.

There is no indication that the officer deviated from established protocols or acted in a way that would compromise the organization's integrity or its relationship with the public. Their decision to remove themselves from the investigation demonstrated a responsible approach in handling the situation.

After reviewing the complainant's concerns, the related incidents, and an extensive review of reports and records, along with the absence of additional input from the complainant, there is no evidence to support the allegations made. Based on the available information and the thorough review of all relevant materials, the allegations are deemed unsubstantiated.

LECA Page 2 of 2