**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

# DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 07/17/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

## De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that the subject officer obtained a warrant illegally, based solely on the race of his family.

## Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation # 1:

10 (1) YOU ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE COMMITTED MISCONDUCT IN THAT, YOU CONDUCTED YOURSELF IN A MANNER THAT UNDERMINED, OR WAS LIKELY TO UNDERMINE, PUBLIC TRUST IN POLICING, contrary to Section 10 of the Code of Conduct of Ontario Regulation 407/23 and therefore, contrary to Section 195 (a) of the Community Safety Policing Act, R.S.O. 2019, as amended.

Allegation # 2:

5 (1) YOU ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE COMMITTED MISCONDUCT IN THAT, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR DUTIES, YOU TREATED ANY PERSON IN A MANNER THAT, AT THE TIME, YOU KNEW OR REASONABLY OUGHT TO HAVE KNOWN, WOULD CONTRAVENE THE HUMAN RIGHTS CODE, contrary to Section 5 (1) of the Code of Conduct of Ontario Regulation 407/23 and therefore, contrary to Section 195 (a) of the Community Safety Policing Act, R.S.O. 2019, as amended.

## Decision and Reasons

Both allegations have been unsubstantiated based on the fact that the search warrants were both legal and lawfully drafted and obtained. The documents were produced by the Subject Officer and were judicially authorized by a Justice of the Peace. Both the investigation and the authorization were based on factual information and evidence garnered from the investigation, and the race of the Complainant did not play a role.