DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: Date of Complaint: 04/30/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant was the subject of a criminal harassment allegation. The RO attended his address for the purpose of cautioning him and did so accordingly. The Complainant alleged the RO failed to properly investigate the matter.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Section 2(1)(c)(i) without lawful excuse neglects or omits promptly and diligently to perform as duty

Decision and Reasons

The investigation revealed that the Complainant was the suspect in a criminal allegation and the RO attended his address to locate and caution him from further unwanted communication.

The RO located the Complainant in the hallway of his apartment and cautioned him from sending additional emails to the victim.

The entire interaction was captured on BWC. The Complainants allegations were baseless, factually incorrect and without merit. The RO conducted herself in courteous and professional manner at all times.