

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 06/18/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that a [redacted] Officer who was off duty in his personal was driving dangerously. An interaction between the two parties took place and the Complainant alleged that the [REDACTED] Officer used his position to intimidate him.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

- 1) A police officer shall not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in policing, contrary to Section 10 of the Community Safety and Policing Act (Ontario Regulation 407/23).
- 2) A police officer shall not use their position as a police officer to do any of the following:
 1. Benefit themselves, contrary to Section 16 of the Community Safety and Policing Act (Ontario Regulation 407/23).

Decision and Reasons

- 1) This investigation revealed the officer did not commit any offences under the Ontario Highway Traffic Act and conducted himself in a professional manner. The actions of the officer did not support the allegation that the officer undermined public trust.
- 2) This investigation has revealed that it was reasonable for the officer to engage the Complainant in an official capacity. The actions of the officer did not personally benefit them as it was in the interest of the public.