DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 08/07/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ◉ Referred to Other Service: ○ Retained by LECA: ○

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant observed bruises on his son's legs in the evening. The Complainant has had conflict with school staff and formed the opinion that elementary school staff are responsible for the bruises. The Complainant reported the bruises to police. The Complainant alleges the investigating officer failed to conduct an appropriate criminal investigation.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Neglect of Duty - s.19

Decision and Reasons

Neglect of Duty - Relevant service procedures, legislation, and protocol were reviewed. The officers actions were consistent with relevant joint oversight and the totality of the information obtained by the officer. The officer's actions do not support the allegation that the officer failed to perform their duties appropriately.

Conclusion - The Chief of Police does not have reasonable grounds to believe the officer engaged in misconduct.