

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED] Date of Complaint: 11/08/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant reported that Respondent Officers approached her client and another man in a parking lot while they were seated in a vehicle.

The Complainant alleged that Respondent Officers discriminated against her client because of race and religion, and unlawfully arrested, searched and detained him.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Allegation 1 – Discrimination-5-Human Rights Code

Allegation 2 – Unlawful Detention-8

Decision and Reasons

Allegation 1 – Discrimination-5-Human Rights Code-The investigation revealed that the Respondent Officer was in the lawful execution of his duty and in accordance with relevant laws when he approached the vehicle and investigated the occupants. The Officer acted in good faith. Based on all of the available information, the Investigator concluded that there was insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct occurred.

Allegation 2 – Unlawful Detention-8-The investigation revealed that the the Respondent Officers were in the lawful execution of their duty and had formed reasonable and probably grounds for the arrest of the men. Relevant laws were followed and the officer acted in good faith. Based on all of the available information, the Investigator concluded that there was insufficient evidence to establish reasonable grounds that misconduct occurred.