

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 04/30/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleges that the ROs failed to form reasonable grounds to arrest her for assault.

The Complainant further alleges that Respondent Officers failed to provide her with a phone call to her lawyer.

**Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations**

Section 7- Unlawful arrest  
Section 19- Neglect of duty

**Decision and Reasons**

The investigation revealed that the Complainant was involved in an altercation with her ex-husband while at her residence. The Complaint's actions were captured on video and the allegation made by her ex-husband was consistent with the footage. The Investigation determined there were sufficient reasonable grounds to believe an offence had been committed and the police were required to lay a charge in these circumstances.

Additionally, the Complaint was provided her rights to counsel and secondary caution. The investigation determined that although there was a delay in calling her lawyer while in custody, the delay was not unreasonable based on all the facts and the urgency to release the Complainant from custody.