

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 10/03/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant states he was injured while being arrested. He alleges \$2000.00 was removed from his wallet while he was under arrest. Later he was forcibly removed from a police station when he attempted to report the money was missing.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations
---

Section 10(1) Undermine Public Trust

Section 19 Performance of Duties

Section 11(1) Unnecessary Use of Force

Section 11(1) Unnecessary Use of Force

Decision and Reasons
----------------------

The Complainant was lawfully arrested, searched and had his property held while he was in custody. There is no evidence to show the Complainant had any money in his possession. None of the Respondent Officers were observed to be in possession of money at any time. Video evidence shows the Complainant's wallet appeared to be empty.

The Respondent Officers monitored the Complainant's condition and contacted paramedics at the first opportunity when it was determined the Complainant required medical assistance.

The Respondent Officer used force in accordance with the Police Interactions Training Aid. The application of force was minimal and did not likely cause any injury to the Complainant. Any injury can be attributed to the Complainant struggling after he was arrested in which he aggravated a previous injury.

The Respondent Officer spoke with the Complainant, listened to his concerns, and conducted a preliminary investigation. The Respondent Officer requested the Complainant leave the police station when he became disruptive after being told his allegation of missing money was untruthful. The Respondent Officer had the lawful authority to use force to remove the Complainant from the building. The force used was minimal.