

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

| Original Police Service: | Date of Complaint: 10/02/2024 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Type of Investigation: | | |
| Referred to Same Service: | Referred to Other Service: | Retained by LECA: |
| Service Investigations Referred to: | | |
| De-identified Summary of Complaint | | |
| The Complainant alleges after she winvestigation. | vas assaulted the Respondent Offi | cer was negligent in his |
| | | |
| | | |

LECA 2024 Page 1 of 2



Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

| Section 19 Performance of Duties | | |
|--|--|--|
| Decision and Reasons | | |
| The Respondent Officer thoroughly investigated the Complainant's report and reviewed all available evidence in determining there was insufficient evidence to believe an assault had taken place. | | |
| The Respondent Officer determined there was no reasonable prospect of a conviction and chose not to take further action in accordance with all governing authorities contained in the Criminal Code and Police Service Procedures. | | |
| The Investigator agreed with the Respondent Officer's decision that criminal charges were not warranted in this matter. | | |

LECA Page 2 of 2